ABSTRACT

This work reconstructs the dynamics leading to the two most intense Extreme Precipitation volume over north-eastern Italy in the last 60 years: the 66 "century" flood (3-5 November 1966) and the Vaia storm (27-30 October 2018). The 66 flood led to the overflooding of Arno, Adige, Tagliamento and Ombrone rivers causing the death of 188 people. The Vaia storm is mainly known for the strong Sirocco wind gusts that reached 217 km/h at Passo Rolle (Trentino) and that damaged over 41,000 ha of forests. The approach is two-sided using both reanalysis data (ERA5 database, ArCIS precipitation and pluvial floods are expected to increase over the Mediterranean region due to climate change (IPCC, 6th report). Providing the forecasters with the necessary elements to identify in advance evidences of EPEs is of fundamental importance in terms of civil protection and damage confinement.

ATMOSPHERIC RIVERS

generally characterized by EPEs are intense and persistent precipitations. Consequently, water vapour (WV) has to be continuously supplied to the precipitation systems. WV can be supplied through long, narrow and transient filaments of horizontal WV transport known as **Atmospheric rivers** (AR). These structures develop ahead are labelled as ARs if they respect of the cold front of an extratropical the following features: cyclone. The name is related to the fact that they can be really thought as effective rivers made of WV.

Both 66 flood and Vaia storm are characterized by the presence of an AR.



VARIABLE	THRESH
Position	Poleward
Length	>2000
Integrated WV (IWV)	>20 kg
Integrated WV Transport	>250 kg r

METHODS - WATER BUDGET



area (north-eastern Italy) such that WV fluxes that exit from the northern side are directed towards the investigated region of precipitation and thus coincide with the water fluxes that supply moisture to the EPEs. This technique allows to track the variation in time of the fluxes of WV through each lateral side (West, East, South) and through the bottom side due to evaporation processes. The fluxes are integrated in time and their percentage contribution is evaluated.

IMPACTS

In conclusion, in VAIA, in agreement with a greater amount of WV transport, the impacts were more localized and less severe and this is due to several factors:

- I. The current forecasting and warning procedures, certainly not available in 1966, limited the impact of the event.
- II. The time interval with reduced precipitation in Vaia limited the damage and partially reduced the rivers' discharge.
- III. State of the rivers and previous soil conditions: the VAIA storm was preceded by a very hot and dry October while, in the case of 1966, October was characterized by abundant precipitation, even snowy at high altitude, which had already saturated the soil and raised the level of rivers.

REVISITING THE ATMOSPHERIC DYNAMICS OF THE TWO CENTURY FLOODS OVER NORTH-EASTERN ITALY

F. Sioni^{a,c}, S. Davolio^b, L. Giovannini^c, F. Grazzini^{d,e}

^a ARPA FVG-OSMER, Palmanova, Italy ^b CNR-ISAC, Bologna, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Mechanical Engineering, University of Trento, Italy ^c Department of Civil, Environmental and Civil,



- FW in Vaia is linked to an eastward contribution coming from the northern Atlantic resulting critical as a main feeder for the
- origin of this WV was ascribed to the evaporation just outside the western side of the atmospheric box. Therefore, this can
- The WV input due to evaporation from the sea surface below the box resulted in percentage terms equal to 13% in both
- The Vaia event is characterized by **remote transport** of WV, but coming from two different sources: a contribution crossing the western side of the box coming form the North Atlantic, and a transport across the southern side of the box that had a

CONCLUSIONS

- over northern Italy in autumn.
- In both events an AR is present. However, the tropical remote transport is not the only contribution supplying moisture to the precipitation systems as shown by the water budget analysis.
- The importance of the ARs to precipitation in the events was assessed performing a sensitivity experiment based on the reduction by 75% of the specific humidity at the boundary (not shown). The results show a strong reduction of the cumulated precipitation in the sensitivity simulations with respect to the control simulations highlighting the ARs as key ingredients for the EPEs development.



Vaia is a two-phased event. Between the two phases there is a net decrease of the average hourly precipitation rate which was probably fundamental for preventing

The AR was shorter but broader over the Italian territory with respect to the 66 flood. It was not stationary but it first moved eastward and then was bent back to affect again northern Italy. The AR had a diffluent behaviour over the Tyrrhenian Sea, leading to a spread transport of WV all over the Alps and causing more diffuse precipitation all over the Alpine chain

• The two events share the same synoptic evolution, that is the typical configuration leading to EPEs